G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
209-16-(1-6)
218-17-(1-2)
311-14-(1-2)
100-15-(1-2)
284-15-(1-2)
Later references.
cfs 173-18(5+6)
" 16-21(1-10)

Revenue

1918.
(Cents)

File No. 173-18

Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for April 19

SUBJECT.

Fee bill of Mr Venkata Shamanna Advocate Chitaldurg

---

41 To the D. M. Chitaldurg
" Comptroller
" P. Prosecutor Shimoga
G Memo 6-7 dt 26-4-19
Directs that the amount payable to Mr Venkata Shamanna be shortdrawn from the Salary of the Public Prosecutor Shimoga

W D 1250—GPB—30,000-28-1-19

G. R. S. III-1]

1918.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 173-18

FILE HEADING.

Fee bill of Mr. Venkata Subramanya, Advocate Chitaldrug, for having conducted Prosecution in Chitaldrug Sessions case No. 1 of 18-19 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga.

From

The Dist. magistrate, Chitaldrug Bangalore

No. Refer no 284 Dated 16-1-1919 Received 20-1-1919

Diary No. 8. 957 Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTERS.

Forwards for Sanction the bill of Mr. Venkata-Subramanya for Rs 32/- for having conducted the Prosecution and Requests Sanction to the bill stating that the Public Prosecutor could not be engaged as he pleaded inability.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd - with a draft Sanctioning.

EGR 23/1/19 Are there any instances in which the Govt paid the fees of advocates appearing in cases which shd have been but were

NOTES—First Sheet.

806

Serial No.

not conducted by the Public Prosecutor on account of personal inconvenience.

SPBA

24.1.19

By Smkd with references. In all the cases put up bills of advocates specially engaged have been sanctioned after considering whether the engagement was in order as required in rule 9(1) of the rules for remuneration of legal Practitioners.

(4) Rule 7(6) requires that bills should also be signed by the Judge when the fee is for appearance in court. It has not been so signed in the present case.

5. Draft is put up returning the bill for being countersigned by the Judge.

Smkd. for orders.

SG
28/1/19.
Agn
28/1.

6) Hamid
D. M.
28/1

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 173 of 1918.

Serial No.	
	To The D. M. Chitaldrug
2	G 6133 3-2-19
	Invites attention to rule 7(6) of the rules of employment and recommend action of legal practitioners and requests that the bill may be countersigned by the Judge
	Encl with the draft already put up
	8/3 10/3
3.	From the D. M. Chitaldrug
	C. J. 284 Dt 6-3-1919
	Returns the fee bill of Mr. Venkatasamanna for sanction, having been duly countersigned by the Sessions Judge, Shimoga
	7) Encl with the draft already put up
	8) May be appd. D. 14/3
	8/3 10/3 Appd 10/3 Chief Secy
	1st number)
9.	C.S.J. 3/3/19 D. 14/3

Encl B.2 6/3 6 PM FEB 1919

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 5 File No. 173 of 191 8

Serial No.

9. I think it is necessary in all such cases to insist on the fee payable to another legal practitioner who may be engaged for reasons personal to the Public Prosecutor being debited to the latter. The case dealt with in G.O.(Ms) 232-4 dy 24.5.13 is quite in point. Please refer to that order & see that the amount payable to Mr Venkatashamanna will be shortdrawn from the salary of the S.G.

5.3.13

D.M. 5/4

Send with a draft accordingly

8/4

9/4. For perusal & approval

D.M. 10/4

Chief Secy Issue

10. 8/4

4 Mem. re Establishment & conveyance - Public Prosecutor Chengalpattu Dn G.O.(Ms) 367

Orders that the amount payable to Mrs B Venkatashamanna be shortdrawn from the salary of the Public Prosecutor Chengalpattu

12/25/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

III

Office of the District Magistrate,
Chitaldroog District,
Dated Chitaldroog 16th January 1919.

From

M. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldroog District.

DIARY 9571

To

J. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

173-18

S I R,

I have the honor to submit herewith for favor of sanction a bill for Rs. 32/- received from Mr.B.Venkatashamanna, Advocate, Chitaldroog for having conducted prosecution in Chitaldroog Sessions case No.1 of 1918-19 on the file of the Sessions Judge Shimoga Division on the 9th and 10th December 1918

The Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division, in his letter dated 5th December 1918 having pleaded his inability to attend the Sessions Court for conducting the prosecution in the said case on account of his daughter's critical stage of illness from typhoid fever, Mr. B. Venkatashamanna was specially appointed Public Prosecutor for the purpose of that case under section 492 (2) of the Criminal Procedure Code.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

CNR.

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

191

From The District Magistrate Chittoor

33391

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Madras Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 15/1/1919

Received 16

General No. Revenue 95

No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding a bill for Rs 32 in favour of Mr. B. Venkatasamanna for having conducted prosecution in Criminal Cases No. 1 of 18-19

20 JAN 1919

G. I S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue..... 3/2/19

Signed by.....

Section 45
File No. 173-18
Serial No. 2

No. G/6133

To

The Dt. magistrate
Chicaldony.

Sir,

With reference to your letter Refer C.J 284/18-19 Dt 15-1-1919 forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 32/- of Mr. B. Venkatesharmma Pleader Sessions Advocate, Chicaldony being the fee for having conducted prosecution in Chicaldony Sessions Case No 1 of 1918-19 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga

over.

I am directed to invite your attention to rule 7(6) of the rules for the employment and remuneration of Legal Practitioners as laid down in G.O No 1932-85 of 16-3-1904 and to request that the bill for J 13707 02-03 which is hereunto annexed may be re-transmitted with the countersignature of the Judge as required by the said rule.

I have
the
honour
to be
Sir
Your obedient servant
for Chief Secy.

861
28/1/19.
ASC 28/1

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11 16

Office of the District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug, 6th March 1919.

From

M. Zahiruddin Mecci Esquire B.A.,

District Magistrate,

C H I T A L D R U G.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

- B A N G A L O R E.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No.G.16133/Cts.173-18-2 dated 3rd February 1919, I have the honor to retransmit for favor of sanction the bill for Rs. 32/- being the fee claimed by Mr. B. Venkatasamanna, Advocate, Chitaldrug, for having conducted prosecution in Chitaldrug Sessions case No. 1 of 18-19 received from the Sessions Judge, Shimoga Division duly countersigned.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

60086 WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

191

From The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug Dist-

To The Chief Secretary to
the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore.
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 6-3-19 Ch

Received

General No. CJ No. 284 of 18-19
No.

Enclosure No.

Retransmitting for
favour of sanction the
bill for Rs 32/- being
the fee claimed by Mr
B. V. Shamanna
Advocate. Chitaldrug.
for having conducted
prosecution in Chitg
Sessions case No 1 of 18-19.

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT Fee bill of Sri. B. Venkatachamanna

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C5
File No. 173-18
Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 24.4.19

Signed by W. T. D.

Read–

~~Order~~ Letter R. O. C. No. 284 Dt. 16-1-1918 from the Dt. Magistrate, Chitaldrug Dt., stating that Sri. B. Venkatachamanna, Advocate, Chitaldrug was specially appointed Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution in Chitaldrug Sessions Case No. 1 of 1918-19 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga Divn.

~~Order Thereon No.~~

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Opl(3) 2/4

P. T. O.

as the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Div, could not attend to the work ~~[illegible]~~ owing to certain domestic inconvenience I forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 32/- of Mrs. B. Venkata-Shamanna for having conducted the prosecution in the case on the 9th & 10th Dec 1918.

2. ~~[illegible]~~ correspondence ending with letter no. C J 284 Dy 6-3-19 from the same officer in the matter.

3. G. O no J 4233-4a / C 209-16-6 Dy 24-5-17 directing that the bill of ~~the Bench~~ Mr Y Ramaiah Reader, Tumkur, ~~bill~~ be paid by the Public Prosecutor, since in that instance no satisfactory arrangement had been made

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

by the Public Prosecutor for the conduct of the prosecution as required by Rule 9(1) of the Rules laid down in G.O. No 1932-85 - J 137 of 02-03 dt 16-3-[illegible]

Order No G 24436-J/(1)

Govt. consider that with regard to the present instance the G.O of the 26th May 1903 is in point and direct that the amount of Rs 32/- payable to Mr. B. Venkatachawar be short-drawn from the salary of the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Divn.

DPI for Chief Secy

20 The Dit. Magte, Chikkaballapur with the bills.

" Comptroller to Govt.

" Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Divn